

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/187,472

Applicant(s)

ALLINGTON ET AL.

Examiner

Drew E Becker

Art Unit

1761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Drew E Becker

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) J. Georg Seka

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 16 April 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: none

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant filed a Notice of Appeal on August 27, 2002. However, no Appeal Brief has been mailed within the seven month time period following the Notice of Appeal. The examiner called Mr. Seka on April 16, 2003 and Mr. Seka indicated that no response had been sent.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required